

Breeding and biotechnology in Argentina: a sugarcane genetics perspective

Germán Serino

Chacra Experimental Agrícola Santa Rosa. Colonia Santa Rosa, Salta, Argentina gserino@chacraexperimental.org



Sugarcane is a major crop that provides sugar, bioenergy and biomaterials



Courtesy of Ledesma SAAIC



Chacra Experimental Agrícola Santa Rosa (est. 1951) develops sugarcane varieties for cultivation in Jujuy & Salta



Breeding sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) is a major challenge experimental Agricola SANTA ROSA because its interspecific genome is highly polyploid, aneuploid, and heterozygous



Breeding a sugarcane variety demands years (14 at Chacra) of hard work

Sugar Tech (Jan-Feb 2022) 24(1):166–180

 Table 8
 Clonal selection stages of Chacra's Sugarcane Breeding Program

Stage (number of clones)	Plot size/trial design	Years	Sites	Selection criteria
Stage I: seedlings (250,000)	Individual seedlings/mass selection	3	1	Visual assessment (agronomic type and resistance to diseases), brix and ratooning ability
Stage II: first clonal stage (3000)	1 row, 6 m long/ unreplicated	2	1	Visual assessment (agronomic type and resistance to diseases); stalk number, stalk weight and brix (3 records between early and mid-harvest season)
Stage III: second clonal stage (250)	3 rows, 5 m long/ unreplicated	3	3	Visual assessment (agronomic type and resistance to diseases); stalk number, stalk weight and sucrose content (early, mid- and late harvest season)
Stage IV: multi- environment variety trials (20–25)	3 rows, 10 m long/ RCBD* (3 replicates)	3	10	Visual assessment (agronomic type and resistance to diseases); cane yield, sucrose content (early, mid- and late harvest season); maturity curves and ratooning ability
Stage V: macroplot (3–5)	6 rows, 70–100 m long/ RCBD (3 replicates)	3	10**	Visual assessment (resistance to diseases); cane yield; estimated sugar yield; herbicide phytotoxicity and maturity and tillering curves

*RCBD Randomized complete block design

**Trials are not planted at every location every year

Garsmeur et al Nat Commun. 2018; 9: 2638. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05051-5 175



Chacra's breeding program has developed varieties that have been widely adopted in Argentina, Brazil and Bolivia



NA56-79, widely adopted in Brazil, was arguably the most planted sugarcane variety in history



In 2022, NA cultivars occupied nearly 60% of Jujuy and Salta. Adoption of NA varieties has increased in the last 7 years





A herbicide tolerant GM variety is in the regulatory pipeline



Field efficacy trial demonstrating herbicide tolerance in sugarcane NA varieties (2005)



Breeding a highly polyploid, aneuploid, and heterozygous interspecific hybrid currently poses challenges:

Conventional

- No inbred lines
- No heterotic groups
- Hybrid vigor unexploited
- Slow introgression of traits from wild germplasm

Genetic modification

- New "Events" must be generated for each genotype (no introgression)
- Each trait implies deregulating several events (see CTC's Bt sugarcane)
- Impossible to transform recalcitrant genotypes

New breeding technologies that enable simpler and safer breeding alternatives are critical to leverage sugarcane breeding



CRISPR/Cas genome editing allows precise and targeted genome modifications that may not be distinguished from naturally occurring genotypic variations





ALS herbicide tolerance is a model for gene editing in sugarcane



Linear representation of the ALS protein. AA positions according to standardized *Arabidopsis thaliana* ALS protein sequence. (Tan *et al*. 2006; Li *et al*. 2008; Merotto *et al*. 2009)

Mutation	Tolerance	
A122T	IMIs	Families of ALS Inhibitors
P197S	SUs	SUs: sulfonylureas; IMIs: imidazolinones;
A205V	IMIs	POBs: pyrimidinylthiobenzoates; TPs: triazolopyrimidines, and
W574L	all families of ALS inhibitors	CTS: sulfonylamino- carbonyltriazolinones (Tan <i>et al</i> . 2005; Tan <i>et al</i> . 2006
S653N	IMIs	

We selected ALS herbicide tolerance as a model for genome editing



Objective: Validate the efficacy of designed sgRNAs to introduce mutations in the target sequence via CRISPR/CAS9 DSBs

Cas9

To do this, we have expressed editing vectors stably integrated after biolistic codelivery into sugarcane calli alongside specific ssDNA templates





Software-predicted sgRNA1, sgRNA2 and sgRNA4 with high target specificity and low offtarget activity



Homologous repair templates (T)



Homologous repair ssDNA templates (T1, T2 and T3) were designed to functionally knockin the *als* gene by modifying the target (W574), eliminate a molecular diagnostic *Bts*CI restriction site, and eliminate a specific **PAM** sequence





Embryogenic calli (*Saccharum* spp. Cv. NA 05-860) were transformed with editing vectors, HR templates and a selection vector through biolistic delivery





























Transgenic calli were selected in culture media supplemented with G418



Transgenic calli regenerated in media containing with no 2,4-D





Transgenic regenerants in rooting media





Transgenic regenerants in the greenhouse







TOTAL TIME ABOUT 1 YEAR

Plants were diagnosed for the presence of the transgene



We have regenerated 65 stably independently transformed plants Transgene integration of *nptII* and *Cas9* genes were confirmed using PCR

	Vector combinations	Number of Putative, in vitro selected events	<i>nptII</i> PCR	Cas9 PCR
	pNPTII + pEG_G1 + M1	16	16	15
Stable expression	pNPTII + pEG_G1 + M2	15	15	15
	pNPTII + pEG_G2 + M1	11	11	10
	pNPTII + pEG_G2 + M2	5	5	5
	pNPTII + pEG_G4 + M3	18 65	17 64	15 60
			98%	92%

Putatively edited regenerants were diagnosed by PCR/RE



Specific primers were designed to amplify a 404 bp *als* gene fragment

PCR restriction enzyme (PCR/RE) detects the elimination of the *Bts*CI recognition site near the target codon





Putatively edited regenerants were diagnosed by PCR/RE (cont.)



13 independent events resulted positive for the PCR/RE assay RE-resistant band from six plants were purified and sequenced

	Vector combinations	Number of independent events	<i>nptII</i> PCR	<i>Cas9</i> PCR	PCR/RE
Stable expression	pNPTII + pEG_G1 + M1	16	16	15	5
	pNPTII + pEG_G1 + M2	15	15	15	4
	pNPTII + pEG_G2 + M1	11	11	10	3
	pNPTII + pEG_G2 + M2	5	5	5	0
	pNPTII + pEG_G4 + M3	18	17	15	1
		65	64	60	13

G1 → 30%

G2 → 20%

G4 — 6,6%



Editing was confirmed by DNA sequencing PCR products

BtsCI W574 GG**GGATGGT**GGTGCAG**TGG**GAGGACA



Sequencing





Summary

- We have achieved sgRNA-targeted deletion of *als* gene fragments using CRISPR/Cas9 vectors through NHEJ
- Codelivering editing vectors into sugarcane results in foreign DNA insertion

Perspectives

- We may now be able to develop functional knockout phenotypes of suitable targets
- DNA-free techniques such as biolistic delivery of ribonucleoproteins must be implemented to generate transgene-free editing
- Template DNA activity must be optimized to allow for most functional knock-ins
 More generally
- Sugarcane's complex genome poses a major challenge for breeders and biotechnologists
- Sugarcane breeding is a demanding, knowledge intensive activity
- This activity will benefit from complementary tools that enhance cultivars by engineering specific traits into well developed germplasm

Thank you!