

TC/47/11

**ORIGINAL:** English

**DATE:** February 22, 2011

# INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS GENEVA

# **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**

Forty-Seventh Session Geneva, April 4 to 6, 2011

## METHOD OF CALCULATION OF COYU

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

1. The purpose of this document is to report on developments concerning the method of calculation of COYU.

#### Background

Duckgroun

- 2. At its twenty-sixth session held in Jeju, Republic of Korea, from September 2 to 5, 2008, the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC) considered document TWC/26/17 "Some consequences of reducing the number of plants observed in the assessment of quantitative characteristics of reference varieties<sup>1</sup>" and a presentation by Mr. Kristian Kristensen (Denmark), a copy of which is reproduced as document TWC/26/17 Add.
- 3. Document TWC/26/17 states the following with regard to the current method of calculation of the Combined-Over-Years Uniformity Criterion (COYU):

\_

The term "reference varieties" here refers to established varieties which have been included in the growing trial and which have comparable expression of the characteristics under investigation.

#### "Conclusions

- "18. From the above it can be concluded that the variances calculated in the present system do not reflect the expected value of the true variance as they are too small, partly because the expected value of RMS [residual mean square] from the ANOVA is less than the expected value of  $Var(Y_v)$  and partly because only the number of varieties used in the local adjustment influence[s] this variance (and not the total number of reference varieties). However, the present method probably adjusts for this bias by using a large t-value (by using a small  $\alpha$ -value). Also it can be concluded that the residual mean square (RMS) may depend significantly on the number of observations recorded as the component of RMS that depends on the number of observations (degrees of freedom) was not a negligible part."
- 4. The TWC noted the following possible actions to address the bias in the present method of calculation of COYU, as identified and commented on by Mr. Kristensen:
  - (i) Ignore the biases (comment: the test will most probably be too liberal);
  - (ii) Correct only for the bias introduced by the smaller sample sizes (comment: the test will be too liberal, but will be comparable to those in the past);
  - (iii) Correct only for the present bias (comment: the test will be conservative, but not comparable to the past);
  - (iv) Correct for all biases (comment: there will be no biases, but the tests will not be comparable to the past).
- 5. The TWC agreed that Denmark and the United Kingdom should prepare a new document, including a simulation using the smoothing spline method. It was noted that that would also allow experts further time to reflect on the situation and possible ways forward.
- 6. The Technical Committee, at its forty-fifth session, held in Geneva from March 30 to April 1, 2009, noted the discussions concerning the current method of calculation of COYU, as set out above, and agreed that the Technical Working Parties (TWPs) should be informed about those discussions at their sessions in 2009. The TC requested the TWC to make its recommendations to the TC concerning the proposals set out in paragraph 3 of this document.

# Developments in 2009

7. At its twenty-seventh session, held in Alexandria, Virginia, United States of America, from June 16 to 19, 2009, the TWC considered document TWC/27/15 "Potential approaches to improving COYU" prepared by experts from Denmark and the United Kingdom on the basis of a presentation by Mr. Adrian Roberts (United Kingdom). The TWC agreed that it would be important to evaluate the range of circumstances that needed to be accommodated and that a new document should be prepared for its twenty-eighth session by experts from Denmark and the United Kingdom.

## Developments in 2010

8. The Technical Committee (TC) at its forty-sixth session held in Geneva from March 22 to 24, 2010 considered document TC/46/11 "Method of Calculation of COYU". It noted the

developments concerning the method of calculation of COYU as set out in document TC/46/11, paragraphs 7 to 11, and requested the TWC to make proposals to address the bias in the present method of calculation of COYU. The TC noted the observation at the twenty-seventh session of the TWC, that the way COYU made the calculations at the moment was acceptable, but that it was nevertheless desirable to find a solution.

- 9. The TWC, at its twenty-eighth session held in Angers, France, from June 29 to July 2, 2010, considered document TWC/28/27 "Alternative Methods to COYU for the Assessment of Uniformity", presented by Mr. Kristian Kristensen (Denmark). Mr. Kristensen proposed to carry out a survey to obtain data on the relationship between uniformity and expression of characteristics for different crops in order to determine if linear or quadratic adjustments would be suitable to correct the biases. He would then consider the implementation of the improved method. The TWC noted that experts from Germany, Netherlands, Poland and United Kingdom would send information of averages and standard deviations to Mr. Kristensen for analysis and encouraged other experts to send such information to Mr. Kristensen (see document TWC/28/3 "Report" paragraphs 49 and 50).
  - 10. The TC is invited to note the latest developments concerning the method of calculation of COYU as set out in paragraphs 10 and 11 and to request the TWC continue its work with the aim to developing recommendations to the TC concerning the proposals set out in paragraph 4 of this document.

[End of document]