

C/37/10

ORIGINAL: English DATE: July28,2003

INTERNATIONALUNIONFORTHEPROTECTIONOFNEWVARIETIESOFPLANTS GENEVA

COUNCIL

Thirty-SeventhOrdinarySession Geneva,October23,2003

PROGRESSREPORTONT HEWORKOFTHETECHN ICALCOMMITTEE,THE TECHNICALWORKINGPAR TIESANDTHEWORKING GROUPONBIOCHEMICA L ANDMOLECULARTECHNI QUES,ANDDNA -PROFILINGINPARTICU LAR

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

1. ThisdocumentpresentsaprogressreportontheworkoftheTechnicalCommit tee(TC) and itsTechnicalWorkingParties(TWPs), including the WorkingGrouponBiochemical and MolecularTechniques, and DNA - Profiling in Particular (BMT).

I.	PROGRESSREPORTOFT HEWORKOFTHETECHN ICALCOMMITTEE	4
	Participation	4
	ReportonRelevantMattersDiscussedintheAdministrativeandLegalCommittee,the ConsultativeCommitteeandtheCouncil(OralReportbytheVice Secretary-General)	
		4
	ProgressReportsontheWorkoftheTechnicalWorkingParties,IncludingtheWorkingGroup onBiochemicalandMolecularTechniques,andDNA -ProfilinginParticular(BMT),and	4
	the Ad hocCropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques(CropSubgroups)	
	MembershipoftheEnlargedEditorialCommittee	
	GAÏASoftware	
	MolecularTechniques	5
	DocumentonthePossibleUseofMolecularMarkersintheDUSExam ination ination	5
	Ad hocCropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques(CropSubgroups)	5
	PreparatoryWorkshops	6
	TGPDocuments	6
	TGP/7"DevelopmentofTe stGuidelines"	6
	ProgramfortheDevelopmentofTGPDocuments	
	Publicationof Variety Descriptions	
	UPOVInformationDatabases	
	Reviewof the UPOV -ROMPlant Variety Database	
	TestGuidelines	
	AwardofUPOVMedals	
	Awardoi UPO v iviedais	10
II.	PROGRESSREPORTOFT HEWORKOFTHETECHN ICALWORKINGPARTIES ANI THEWORKINGGROUPO NBIOCHEMICALANDMO LECULARTECHNIQUES, AN DNA-PROFILINGINPARTICU LAR	D
	TechnicalWorkingPartyforAgriculture(TWA)	11
	Participation	
	Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMol ecularTechniques	
	DevelopmentofTGPDocuments	
	ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions	
	ProjectforExchangingSeedofSelectedVarieties	12
	PreparatoryWorkshop	
	DraftTestGuidelinestobeSubmittedtotheTechnicalCommittee	12
	DraftTestGuidelinestobeDiscussedattheTWAin2003	
	DateandPlaceofNextSession	
	ProgramfortheNextSession	
	TechnicalWorkingPartyonAutomationandComputerPrograms(TWC)	
	Participation	
	DevelopmentofTGPDocuments	
	Projectto Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions	
	WorkshoponDataHandling	
	OtherItems	
	DateandPlaceofNextSession	
	ProgramfortheNextSession	
	TechnicalWorkingPartyforFruitCrops(TWF)	
	Participation	
	Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques DevelopmentofTGPDocuments	
	ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions	
	DraftTest GuidelinestobeSubmittedtotheTechnicalCommittee	
	DraftTestGuidelinestobeDiscussedattheTWFin2003	
	•	

C/37/10 page 3

DateandPlaceofNextSession	15				
Programforthe NextSession	15				
TechnicalWorkingPartyforOrnamentalPlantsandForestTrees(TWO)					
Participation					
Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques					
DevelopmentofTGPDocuments					
ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions					
QuestionnaireonTestingofSeed -PropagatedOrnamentalVarieties	16				
PreparatoryWorkshop					
DraftTestGuidelinestobeSubmittedtotheTechnicalCommittee	16				
DraftTestGuidelinestobeDiscussedattheTWOsessionin2003					
DateandPlaceofNextSession					
ProgramfortheNextSession	17				
TechnicalWorkingPartyforVegetables(TWV)	17				
Participation	17				
Molecular Techniques	17				
DevelopmentofTGPDocuments	18				
ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions	18				
DraftTestGuidelinestobeSubmittedtotheTechnicalCommi ttee ttee	18				
DraftTestGuidelinestobeDiscussedattheTWVsessionin2003					
DateandPlaceofNextSession					
ProgramfortheNextSession	18				
WorkingGrouponBiochemicalandMolecularTechniques,andDNA -Profilingin					
Particular(BMT)	19				
DateandPlaceofNextSession					
ProgramfortheNextSession					

I. PROGRESSREPORTOFT HEWORKOFTHETECHN ICALCOMMITTEE

2. The TC held its thirty -ninth session in Geneva, from April 7 to 9, 2003, under the Chairmanship of Mr. Michael Camlin (United Kingdom). The Report on the Conclusions is contained in document TC/39/15 and the detailed Report appears in document TC/39/16 Prov.

Participation

3. The session was attended by 89 participants from 39 members of the Union, one observerStateandfiveobserverorganizations.

Report on Relevant M atters Discussed in the Administrative and Legal Committee, the ConsultativeCommitteeandtheCouncil(OralReportbytheVice Secretary-General)

4. The Vice Secretary -General provided an oral report on the forty -fifth and forty-sixth sessions of the Administrative and Legal Committee (CAJ), the sixty -second and sixty-third sessions of the Consultative Committee and the nineteenth extraordinary session and the thirty -sixthordinary session of the Council.

Progress Reports on the Work of the Te chnical Working Parties, Including the Working Group on Biochemical and Molecular Techniques, and DNA -Profiling in Particular (BMT), and the Ad hoc Crop Subgroups on Molecular Techniques (Crop Subgroups)

5. The TC received oral reports, from the Working Party for Agricultural Crops (TWA), the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC), the Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops (TWF), the Technical Working Party for Ornamental Pla working Party for Vegetables (TWV) and the Mushroom, Soybean and Sugarcane Crop Subgroups. Itnoted that the BMThadnot metsince the thirty -eighthsession of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Forest Trees (TWO), the Technical Working Party for Vegetables (TWV) and the Subgroups. Itnoted that the BMThadnot metsince the thirty -eighthsession of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Forest Trees (TWO), the Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops (TWF), the Technical Working Party for Vegetables (TWV) and the Subgroups. Itnoted that the Subgroups (TWF) are the Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops (TWF), the Technical Working Party for Fruit Cro

MembershipoftheEnlargedEditorialCommit tee

6. The Chairman of the TC reported that Canada and the United States of America had nominated Mr. Doug Waterhouse as an additional member of the Enlarged Editorial Committee(TC -EDC). Itwasagreedthat, on the basis of his expertise and experience within a breeder -based testing system, Mr. Doug Waterhouse would become a member of the TC-EDC, for a period of three years to coincide with the terms of the Chairmen of the Technical Working Parties (TWPs), in accordance with the proposal agreed by the TC at its thirty-eighthsession.

GAÏASoftware

7. The TC received a report, from the Delegation of France, on progress in the development of the GAÏA software which had been designed to compute a phenotypic distance between two varietie s being a sum of distances for individual characteristics. The Delegation of France reported that the GAÏA software should be ready for distribution to

members of the Union, in the form of a CD -ROM, by the end of April 2003. The CD would be distributed with a user guide and information on its application. The experts from France would also provides ome initial guidance on its application. The Office of the Union (the Office) added that it would provide information on how to obtain the software on the restricted area of its Website. It was noted that the GAÏA software and its application would be discussed at the twenty -first session of the TWC, to be held in Tjele, Denmark, from June 10 to 13, 2003.

MolecularTechniques

8. TheTCconsi dereddocumentTC/39/3.

Document on the Possible Use of Molecular Markers in the DUSE xamination

9. The TC discussed the proposal from the TWF for the preparation of a document on the possible use of molecular markers in the DUS examination. I twas agreed that the Office, in conjunction with the Chairmen of the TC and the BMT, would use existing documents and, in particular document TC/38/14 Add. –CAJ/45/5 Add., to develop a summary of the current position, which would be considered by the TC at its fortieths ession in Spring 2004. The TC would then consider whether it would be appropriate to invite the CAJ to examine the document. In the meantime, it agreed that the TWPs should be given a further opportunity to discuss document TC/38/14 Add.—CAJ/45/5 Add. in more depthat their sessions in 2003.

Ad hocCropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques(CropSubgroups)

10. The TC approved the following Crop Subgroups Chairpersons:

Mr.NicovanMarrewijk (Netherlands): Mushroom;

Mrs.BeateR ücker(Germany): Potato;
Mr.MarceloLabarta(Argentina): Soybean;
Mr.LuisSalaices(Spain): Sugarcane.

11. The TC noted the work of the Crop Subgroups in 2002 and agreed the following programs of work in 2003, subject to meetings only bein gheld where there were sufficient papers for consideration:

Maize: no future meeting to be planned at this stage, subject to

considerationbytheTWA;

Mushroom: no future meeting to be planned at this stage, subject to

considerationbytheTWV;

OilseedRape,PotatoandWheat:

tomeetconsecutively, at the same venue, in Mayor June 2003;

Rose: a suitable date for a meeting to be arranged when papers are

available;

Sugarcane: to hold another meeting in association with the thirty -second

session of the TWA to be held in Tsukuba, Japan, from

September8to12,2003;

Soybean: to hold another meeting in association with the thirty -second

session of the TWA to be held in Tsukuba, Japan, from

September8to12,2003;

Tomato: no future meeting to be planned at this stage, subject to

consideration by the TWV .

12. With regard to the report from the Mushroom Crop Subgroup, the TC recommended that the matters raised by that Crop Subgroup should be addressed by the TWV when it consideredthatre portatitsthirty -seventhsessioninJune 2003.

13. WithregardtotheproposalfromtheSugarcaneCropSubgroupfortheproductionofan updated explanation and analysis of available molecular methods, summarizing their advantages and disadvant ages, the TC emphasized that this should have referred to their use invariety characterization and not DUS testing. The Chairman of the TC confirmed that this proposal and that of the Sugarcane Crop Subgroup, to prepare a draft standard protocol to obtain and storemolecular data relevant to the species, could be considered under the relevant agenda items of the eighth session of the BMT, to be held in Tsukuba, Japan, from September 3to 5,2003.

<u>PreparatoryWorkshops</u>

- 14. TheTCconsidereddoc umentTC/39/8.
- 15. Itwasnotedthatthepreparatoryworkshopswhichtookplacein2002hadproveduseful and it was agreed that preparatory workshops should be organized in conjunction with the TWPmeetingstobeheldin2003. Inordertofurth erimprovethevalueoftheworkshops, it was recommended that the Office issue a questionnaire, to potential participants, seeking informationonitemswhichwouldbeofparticularinterest.

TGPDocuments

16. The TC considered documents TC/39/ 5, TGP/7D raft 2 and TC/39/6.

TGP/7"DevelopmentofTestGuidelines"

17. The TC decided that certain sections of TGP/7 Draft 2 "Development of Test Guidelines" should be considered as matters which required further discussion before agreement could be reached. It agreed that these sections should be highlighted in the next draft of TGP/7, to be considered by the TWPs during their sessions in 2003, to ensure it was understood that this text had not be en agreed by the TC. In addition, the TC did not examine Section 4, GN18 to GN30 in Annex 3 and Annex 4 of the document, and agreed that the highlighted text in these sections should remain highlighted in the future drafts of the document. With these exceptions and revisions of the text agreed at it sees ion, the TC agreed

that that document should be used as the basis for the development of Test Guidelines in 2003.

Program for the Development of TGPD ocuments

- 18. WithregardtotheprogramforthedevelopmentofTGPdocumentssetoutind ocument TC/39/6, the TC received an oral report from the Chairman of the TC on the views of the TC-EDC, which had been developed during its meeting on the previous day, and which are reflected in the approach developed in the following paragraphs.
- 19. The TC confirmed that TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines" should continue to receive highest priority and that all TWPs should be invited to consider TGP/7 at their sessionsin2003. Italsoconfirmedthat TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP/10 "Examining Uniformity" should continue to receive then exthighest priority. However, it considered that TGP/7 would take a substantial amount of time to be discussed and agreed that the TWPs should not be quested to consider TGP/4, TGP/9 or TGP/10 at their sessions in 2003. Nevertheless, it agreed that the flow diagram, in Annex I of document TC/39/6, showing the proposed restructuring of TGP/3, TGP/4 and TGP/9, should be elaborated and presented to the TW Ps at their sessions in 2003. Furthermore, it agreed that TGP/3 should not be discussed further in the TC and should be a matter for the CAJ.
- 20. Itagreedthat, during 2003, the Office should produce full drafts of TGP/4 and TGP/9, based on the existing information in the individual sections and the restructuring set out in Annex I of document TC/39/6, to ease the consideration of these documents by the TWPs at their sessions in 2004. With regard to other TGP documents, the TC agreed that the T should continue to discuss drafts of those documents for which they were responsible as far as time allowed.
- 21. The TC agreed with the proposal in document TC/39/6 that drafts of TGP/5 "ExperienceandCooperationinDUSTesting,"TGP/6"Arra ngementsforDUSTesting"and TGP/12.2.1"ChemicalConstituents: ProteinElectrophoresis" could be submitted to the TC, atitssessioninspring 2005, without discussion in the TWPs.
- 22. ItwasagreedthattheOfficeshouldprovideanupdatedpr ogramforthedevelopmentof TGPdocumentsinaccordancewiththisapproachandthatthisprogramshouldbecirculated totheTCandTWPs.

Publication of Variety Descriptions

- 23. Discussions were based on document TC/39/9 and the oral report fr om the Technical Director on the views of the *Ad hoc* Working Group on the Publication of Variety Descriptions(WG -PVD).
- 24. On the basis of the proposals from the TWPs, and the proposal made by the WG for a study on rose, the TC agreed that he model study for the project on the publication of variety descriptions should be based on the species identified below, with the coordinators and interested parties as shown:

Species/Crop	Proposing TWP	Coordinator	<u>InterestedParties</u>	
Apple	TWF	GB	AR,BE,CA,CZ,DE,FR,GB,HU,LV,NL, NZ,CPVO	
Barley	TWA	DK	AT,AR,CA,CL,CZ,DE,DK,EE,ES,FI,FR,GB,HR,HU,NL,NZ,RO,RU,SE,ZA	
ChineseCabbage	TWV	JP	CN,DE ,NL , JP,KR ,PL ,CPVO ,ISF	
Lettuce	TWV	NL	CZ,DE ,FR,HU ,NL,PL , ES,CPVO, ISF	
Petunia	TWO	DE	AU,CA,DE,JP,NZ,KR,CPVO	
Potato	TWA	NL/CPVO	AT,CA,CL,CZ,DE,EE,GB,IL,NL,NZ, ZA,CPVO	
Rose			CA,KE,NL,CPVO	
Strawberry	TWF	IL	AR,CA,DE,ES,FR,HU,IL,KE,NZ,CPVO	

- 25. Thetableaboveincludesinterestedparties whichnotified the Office, after thesession of the TC, that they wished to be included in the study for any of the species.
- 26. Onthebasis of the lists of soybean varieties in different countries, and lack of varieties in common between different countries, it concluded that it would not be appropriate to conduct a model study involving this species.
- 27. Withregardtoapossiblestudyonrose,itwasagreedthatconsiderationshouldbegiven toincludingonlyonetypeofroseinany studyandthatthemattershouldbediscussedfurther bytheTWO.
- 28. In the case of barley, it agreed that the model study should build on the information alreadyobtained by a previous project reported to the TWA indocument TWA / 29/19.
- 29. As a first step in the model study, the TC agreed that the coordinators, in conjunction with the Office, should obtain lists of varieties and identify those varieties which appeared in the lists of more than one country. The coordinator should then seek descriptions on a manageable number of varieties for comparison. The descriptions should be according to the characteristics in the latest version of the UPOVT est Guidelines and the location at which the descriptions were produced should be clearly identified.
- 30. It was recommended that the TWC should be invited to review the work conducted previously by the expert from Denmark, as reported in document TWA/29/19, and give its advice on the management of the data. Furthermore, it was agreed that the delegate from Denmark, in conjunction with the Office, should develop some initial guidance for the coordinatorstohelpintheirplanning conductofthe study.
- 31. The TC agreed that the Chairman of the TWC should be invited to participate in the WG-PVD.

UPOVInformationDatabases

32. The TC considered document TC/39/13 and agreed the approach for the development and the work program for the development and introduction of the proposed UPOV code set out in that document and the proposal for the development of the "GENIE" database.

ReviewoftheUPOV -ROMPlantVarietyDatabase

33. The TC considered document TC/39/14 —CAJ/47/5 and noted the results of the questionnaire and proposed program of activity for imp roving the effectiveness of the UPOV-ROM. It clarified that any proposals to change the fields in the UPOV —ROM to be considered as mandatory would need to be agreed by the members of the Union.

TestGuidelines

34. The TC considered and adopte d the following Test Guidelines on the basis of the amendmentsandthelinguisticchangesrecommendedbytheEditorialCommittee:

Document	English	French	German	Spanish	Latin
TG/9/5(proj.1)	RunnerBean	Haricot d'Espagne	Prunkbohne	Judíaescarlata	Phaseolus coccineusL.
TG/13/8(proj.3)	Lettuce	Laitue	Salat	Lechuga	LactucasativaL.
TG/38/7(proj.3)	WhiteClover	Trèfleblanc	Weißklee	Trébolblanco	Trifolium repens L.
TG/43/7(proj.2)	Raspberry	Framboisier	Himbeere	Frambueso	RubusidaeusL.
TG/100/4(proj.2)	Quince	Cognassier	Quitte	Membrillero	CydoniaMill. sensustricto
TG/105/4(proj.1)	Chinese Cabbage	Chouchinois	Chinakohl	Repollochino	Brassica pekinensisL.
TG/118/4(proj.1)	Endive	Chicorée (frisée,scarole)	Endivie	Escarola	Cichorium endivia L.
TG/192/1(proj.2)	Apple (ornamental varieties)	Pommier (variétés ornementales)	Apfel (Ziersorten)	Manzano (variedades ornamentales)	MalusMill.
TG/198/1(proj.1)	Chives, Asatsuki	Ciboulette, Civette	Schnittlauch	Cebollino	Alliumschoeno - prasumL.
TG/199/1(proj.1)	ChineseChives			Civechino	Alliumtuberosum RottlerexSpreng
TG/BASIL(proj.1)	Basil	Basilic	Basilikum	Albahaca	Ocimum basilicumL.
TG/BRACTE(proj.2)	Bracteantha, Everlasting Daisy	Immortelleà bractées	Gartenstroh- blume	Siempreviva, Perpetua	Helichrysum bracteatum (Vent.)Andr.
TG/BROADBEAN (proj.1)(TG/8/4Rev.)	BroadBean	Fève	DickeBohne (Puffbohne)	Habadehuerta, Habadeverdeo	ViciafabaL.var. majorHarz

Document	English	French	German	Spanish	Latin
TG/CALIBR(proj.2)	Calibrachoa	Calibrachoa	Calibrachoa	Calibrachoa	Calibrachoa Llave &Lex.
TG/CHERIM(proj.2)	Cherimoya	Chérimolier	Cherimoya	Cherimoya, Chirimoyo	Annona cherimolaMill.
TG/DENDRO(proj.2)	Dendrobium	Dendrobium	Dendrobium, Baumwucherer	Dendrobium	DendrobiumNees
TG/LENTIL(proj.1)	Lentil	Lentille	Linse	Lenteja	Lensc ulinaris Medik.
TG/LEPTOS	Leptospermum, TeaTree	Leptosperme	Südseemyrte	Leptospermum	Leptospermum J.R.etG.Forst.
TG/PETUNI(proj.2)	Petunia	Pétunia	Petunie	Petunia	PetuniaJuss.
TG/PHALAE(proj.2)	Phalaenopsis	Phalaenopsis	Phalaenopsis	Phalaenopsis	Phalaenopsis Blume
TG/WILLOW(proj.2) TG/72/4(Rev.)	Willow	Saule	Weide	Sauce	SalixL.
TG/MANDA(proj.3) (TG/83/3Rev.)	Mandarins	Mandariniers	Mandarinen	Mandarinos	Citrus;Grp1
TG/ORANG(proj.3) (TG/83/3Rev.)	Oranges	Orangers	Orangen	Naranjos	Citrus; Grp2
TG/LEM-LIM(proj.3) (TG/83/3Rev.)	Lemonsand Limes	Citronnierset Limettiers	Zitronenund Limetten	Limonesy Limas	Citrus;Grp3
TG/GRA-PUM(proj.3) (TG/83/3Rev.)	Grapefruitand Pummelo	PomeloetPam - plemoussier	Grapefruitund Pampelmuse	Pomelo y Pummelo	Citrus;Grp4
TG/PONCI(proj.3) (TG/83/3Rev.)	Trifoliate Orange	Orangertrifolié	Dreiblättrige Orange	Naranjo trifoliado	PoncirusRaf.; Grp5

- 35. The TC agreed that the Test Guidelines for Persimmon (TG/92/4(proj.2)) should be referredbacktotheTWFforclarificationoftheastringencyclassificationofvarieties.
- 36. The TC agreed the plans for the development of new Test Guidelines and the revision of existing ones by the TWPs as shown in Annex II of document TC/39/2. It agreed that, on the basis that the expert from Brazilwould be attending the TWA, the Test Guidelines for Coffee should be developed by that TWP, but that the TWF should also be involved in the development of the Test Guidelines. It invited the TWA to consider the development of Test Guidelines for *Panicum Miliaceum L.*, based on the national guidelines developed by Ukraine.

AwardofUPOVMedals

37. The Vice Secretary -General awarded Mr. W ieslaw Pilarczyk with a bronze UPOV medal,inrecognit ionofhischairmanshipoftheTWCfortheperiod2000to2002.

II. PROGRESSREPORTOFT HEWORKOFTHETECHN ICALWORKINGPARTIES AND THE WORKING GROU P ON BIOCHEMICAL AND MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES, ANDDNA -PROFILINGINPARTICU LAR

<u>TechnicalWorkingPartyforA</u> <u>griculture(TWA)</u>

38. The TWA held its thirty -first session in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, from September 23 to 27,2002, under the Chairmanship of Mrs. Françoise Blouet (France). The Report on the Conclusions is contained in document TWA/31/14 and the detailed Report appears in document TWA/31/15.

Participation

39. The session was attended by 40 participants from 23 members of the Union and one observerorganization.

Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques

40. The TWA note d the proposals, developed by the TC, regarding the program for the existing Maize, Oilseed Rape and Wheat Crop Subgroups and for the establishment of new crop subgroups for potato, sugarcane and soybean. The TWA noted that the Sugarcane and Soybean Crop Subgroups would meet immediately after the TWA session and proposed that the Oilseed Rape, Potato and Wheat Crop Subgroups should meet consecutively, at the same venue, in Mayor June 2003. The TWA supported the proposed chair persons of the TWA as follows:

Potato Mrs. BeateRücker(Germany); Sugarcane Mr.LuisSalaices(Spain);

Soybean Mr.MarceloLabarta(Argentina).

Development of TGPD ocuments

41. The TWA discussed a number of draft TGP documents with priority being given to TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines," TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP10 "Examining Uniformity." With regard to other TGP documents the TWA discussed TGP/3.2 Draft 1 "Developments and Explanations Regarding Varieties of Common Knowledge" and TGP/6.1.2 Draft 1 "Examples of Arrangements for DUST esting."

ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions

42. The TWA discussed environmental effects on plant variety descriptions, based on documents prepared by experts from Germany and the United Kingdom, and the Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions . It agreed that the TC and the CAJ should be informed about the difficulties in harmonizing variety descriptions due to environmental effects, which, together with the effect of the examiner, may result in different descriptions for the same variety. Therefore, the TWA considered that the project could only proceed on the basis that different descriptions for the same variety could be accommodated. The TWA proposed that Barley, Potato and Soybean be included in the project and the coordinators for these species should be Denmark for Barley, France for Soybean and the Netherlands and

CPVO jointly for Potato. It also agreed that it would be useful for a list of varieties to be provided by each contributing country in order to assess the degree of overlap. The Office was requested to issue a question naire seeking this information, the results of which could then be presented to the Adhoc Working Group on the Publication of Variety Descriptions and the TC, to help in its decision on how to proceed.

ProjectforExchangingSeedofSelectedVarieties

43. The TWA considered a project, proposed by an expert from Sweden, for exchanging seed of selected varieties. It was agreed that this project should be aimed at improving the development of suitable grouping and asterisked characteristics in the Test Guidelines and, as such, should become a part of the process of revising or developing Test Guidelines, as described in document TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines." It should also seek to identify the extent to which the example varieties would be appropriate within, or beyond, a region. The TWA agreed that the project should continue on Lupins, Rice and White Clover and that are porton progress should be made at the next TWA session.

PreparatoryWorkshop

44. The TWA received a repor ton the preparatory workshop held during the morning of September 22, 2002, prior to the TWA meeting, noting that this was attended by 16 participants from 8 members of the Union and one international organization. It heard that the participants consider ed the workshop very useful and that it had been suggested that relevantdocumentsmightbesenttotheparticipants inadvance.

Draft Test Guide lines to be Submitted to the Technical Committee

45. The TWA finalized the Test Guidelines for Whit eClover for submission to the TC.

Draft Test Guide linest obe Discussed at the TWA in 2003

46. The TWA had agreed that the Test Guidelines for Lupins could be submitted to the TC for adoption at its thirty—ninth session in April 2003 subject t—o agreement by the interested experts oncertain characteristics. However, the experts lateragreed to discuss the document again at the TWA in 2003. The TWA planned to continue discussion on Test Guidelines for Coffee, Grain Amaranth, Lotus, Medicago (e—xcl. Sativa), Potato and Rice, and decided to be ginwork on Test Guidelines for Lucerne (Revision), Ryegrass (Revision) and Sesame.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

47. At the invitation of the expert from Japan, the TWA agreed to hold its thirty -second session in Tsukuba, Japan, from September 8 to 12,2003. The TWA also noted that it had received offers to host future meetings from: Poland (2004); South Africa (2005); New Zealand (2005 or 2006) and, at the meeting, received an offer from the expert from Hungary to host the TWA in 2007.

Program for the Next Session

48. The TWA proposed to discuss the following items at its next session: Short reports on developments in plant variety protection from members and observers; Report of developments within UPOV; Report on the eighths ession of the BMT and Reports from the

Crop Subgroups; Publication of Variety Descriptions; Project for exchanging seed of selected varieties between interested countries; TGP Documents; Discussions on draft Test Guidelines; Recommendations on draft Test Guidelines; Date and place of next session; Futureprogram.

TechnicalWorkingPartyonAutomationandComputerPrograms(TWC)

49. The TWC held its twentieth session in Texcoco, Mexico, fr om June 17 to 20, 2002, under the Chairmanship of Mr. Wieslaw Pilarczyk (Poland). The Reporton the Conclusions is contained indocument TWC/20/6 and the detailed Report appears indocument TWC/20/7.

Participation

50. Thesessionwasattendedb y24participantsfrom12membersoftheUnion.

DevelopmentofTGPDocuments

51. The TWC discussed a number of draft TGP documents with priority being given to TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP10 "Examining Uniformity." Withregardtoother TGP documents the TWC discussed and made comments on drafts of TGP/8.1 "Use of Statistical Procedures in DUS Testing: Introduction"; TGP/8.2 "Validation of Data Assumptions"; TGP/8.3 "Experimental Design Practice"; TGP/8.4 "Types of Characteristics and their Scale Levels"; TGP/8.5 "Statistical Method for DUS Examination" and TGP/8.6 "Examining DUS in Bulk Samples." In addition, the TWC discussed and made comments on TGP/14.3 "Glossary of Statistical Terms."

ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions

52. With regard to the report on the Working Group on the Publication on Variety Descriptions, the TWC proposed that an expert from the TWC should be involved in the work of this group pandagreed that this should be taken forward with the Chairman of the TWC.

WorkshoponDataHandling

53. Mr. Kristian Kristensen (Denmark), coordinator of the Workshop on Data Handling whichtookplacefromJune12to14,2002, inTexcoco, Mex ico, made abrief report on that activity. Henoted that 27 participants from seven different countries and one participant from an observer organization had attended the Workshop. The main objective had been to introduce the main statistical principles used in DUS testing and to provide guidance on the use of the COY program.

OtherItems

54. The TWC also discussed: s tatistical methods for data produced by biochemical and molecularmethods; information obtained from a survey on probability le vels for uniformity standards for COYU, where it was agreed that further information was necessary to be able to propose a recommendation; a preliminary report on the efficiency of incomplete block designs inher bage DUS trials; and are port on the Flore s TM image database.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

55. AttheinvitationoftheexpertfromDenmark,theTWCproposedtoholditstwenty -first sessioninTjele,Denmark,fromJune10to13,2003.

ProgramfortheNextSession

56. Duringthetwenty -firstsession,theTWCplannedtodiscussorre -discussthefollowing items: Reports from members and observers; Report on developments within UPOV; Molecular techniques; Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions ; UPOV Databases; Chisquare distribution; Alternative method to COYU when the requirements on degrees of freedom for COYU are not fulfilled; Relative tolerances in the number of off-types; Incomplete blockdesign; Glossaryon Statistical Terms; Efficiency of Incomplete Block Designin DUSHerbage Trials; Calculation of phenotypic distances; TGP documents; List of statistical documents prepared by the TWC; Telecommunications, exchangeable software and contacts; Date and place of the next session; Futur eprogram; Report on the Conclusions of the Session.

<u>TechnicalWorkingPartyforFruitCrops(TWF)</u>

57. The TWF held its thirty—third session in San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina, from November 25 to 29, 2002, under the chairmanship of Mr. Józ—sef Harsányi (Hungary). The Report on the Conclusions is contained in document TWF/33/21 and the detailed Report is reproduced indocument TWF/33/22.

Participation

58. The session was attended by 20 participants from 14 members of the Union and two observerorganizations.

Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques

59. The TWF received a report on the discussions in the BMT, regarding the possible establishmentofan *Adhoc* CropSubgroupforPeachand/orCitrus. The TWF concluded the itwould not be appropriate to propose the establishment of such a cropsubgroup at this time. However, it welcomed the proposal from the expert from France to prepare a summary of work on molecular characteristics in fruit crops for review at the next TWF meeting. This summary would explain the technical progress, but would also consider whether there were plans for this work to be applied for the examination of DUS and, therefore, provide support for the establishment of a cropsubgroup.

DevelopmentofTGPDocuments

60. The TWF discussed a number of draft TGP documents with priority being given to TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines," TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP10 "Examining Uniformi ty." With regard to other TGP documents, the TWF discussed TGP/13 "Guidance for New Types and Species" and TGP/14.2 "Glossary of Technical, Botanical and Statistical Terms Used in UPOV Documents: Plant Shapes."

ProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVari etyDescriptions

61. WithregardtotheProjecttoConsiderthePublicationofVarietyDescriptions,t heTWF suggestedthatappleandstrawberrybeproposedforconsiderationbytheTCforinclusionin the model study. The coordinating members would be Israel and the United Kingdom, respectively.

Draft Test Guide lines to be Submitted to the Technical Committee

62. The TWF agreed that the following draft Test Guidelines should be submitted to the TC for approval in April 2003: Cheri moya, Grapefruit and Pummelos (Revision), Lemons and Limes (Revision), Mandarin (Revision), Oranges (Revision), Poncirus, Persimmon (Revision), Quince (Revision) and Raspberry (Revision).

 ${\it Draft Test Guide lines to be Discussed at the TWF in 2003}$

63. The TWF decided to discuss further the following draft Test Guidelines at its next session in 2003: Apple (Revision), Apricot (Revision), Avocado (Revision), Cactus Pear (Opuntia) and Mango (Revision). The TWF also decided to discuss drafts of the following Test Guidelines at its next session: Banana (Musa spp.) (Revision), Blackberry and Hybrid Berries (Revision), Coffee, Fig, Passion Fruit (edible species), Pecannut (Carya illinoensis) and Pineapple. The TWF agreed to propose to the TC that the TW F should be the leading TWP for the Test Guidelines for Coffee.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

64. At the invitation of Canada, the TWF proposed to hold its thirty -fourth session, in NiagaraFalls, Canada, from September 29 to October 3, 2003.

 ${\it Program for the Next Session}$

65. Duringits thirty -fourthsession, the TWF planned to discuss the following items: Short reports on developments in plant variety protection from members and observers; Report on developments within UPOV; Molecul artechniques; Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions; UPOV databases; Standardized explanation for "maturity of fruit" characteristics; TGP documents; Draft Test Guidelines; Recommendations on draft Test Guidelines; Date and place of the next session ; Future program; Report on the Conclusions of the Session.

TechnicalWorkingPartyforOrnamentalPlantsandForestTrees(TWO)

66. The TWO held its thirty -fifth session in Quito, from November 18 to 22, 2002, under the Chairmanship of Miss Elizabeth Scott (United Kingdom). The Report on the Conclusions is contained in document TWO/35/22 and the detailed Report appears in document TWO/35/23.

Participation

67. The session was attended by 31 participants fro m 15 members of the Union and two observerorganizations.

Adhoc CropSubgroupsonMolecularTechniques

68. The Chairman of the Rose Crop Subgroup reported that the meeting of the Rose Crop Subgroup, planned to take place prior to the meeting o f the TWO, had been postponed because only one paper had been proposed.

Development of TGPD ocuments

69. The TWO discussed a number of draft TGP documents with priority being given to TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines," TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP10 "Examining Uniformity." With regard to other TGP documents, the TWO discussed TGP/8.6 Draft 1 "Examining DUS in Bulk Samples."

Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions

70. With regard to the Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions, the TWOproposedthatthemodelstudyshouldincludePetunia.

Questionnaire on Testing of Seed - Propagated Ornamental Varieties

71. The TWO discussed document TWO/35/16, "Results of the Questionnaire on Testing of Seed-Propagated Ornamental Varieties." It was agreed that this survey should be repeated over the next three years in order to know the species for which the demand for the preparation of Test Guidelines was greatest.

PreparatoryWorkshop

72. The TWO heard that a preparatory workshop, held the day before the start of the session, was attended by 16 participants from five different countries and one observer organization. Comments on the eworkshop had been favorable and the following proposals had been made: to circulate copies of the workshop documents and presentations, to the participants, in advance of the workshop; to include an item on how to use the UPOV Website; to continue the practice of organizing appreparatory workshop before the TWO.

DraftTestGuidelinestobeSubmittedtotheTechnicalCommittee

73. The TWO agreed that the following draft Test Guidelines should be presented to the TC in April 2003: *Bracteantha*; Calibrachoa; *Dendrobium*; *Leptospermum*; Ornamental Apple; Petunia; Phalaenopsis; Willow (Revision).

Draft Test Guide lines to be Discussed at the TWO session in 2003

74. The TWO had agreed that the Test Guidelines for Verbena could be submitted to the TC for adoption at its thirty -ninth session in April 2003. However, the experts later agreed to discuss the document again at the TWO in 2003. The TWO also agreed that the following

draft Test Guidelines should be discussed at the TWO in 2003: Alstroemeria (Revision); Argyranthemum; Brachyscome; *Catharanthus roseus;* Chrysanthemum (Revision); Clematis; Dahlia; Diascia; Hibiscus; Hypericum (berry -producing species); Gypsophila; Impatienswalleriana; Poinsettia (Revision); Ros e(Revision -alltypes); Tagetes; Verbena; Waxflower.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

75. At the invitation of Canada, the TWO proposed to hold its thirty -sixth session in NiagaraFalls, Canada, from September 22 to 26,2003.

Programfort heNextSession

76. Duringthethirty -sixthsession, the TWO planned to discussorre -discuss the following items: Short reports on developments in plant variety protection; Molecular techniques; Project to consider the publication of variety descriptions; UPOV databases; TGP documents; Surveyon "Testing of Seed -Propagated Ornamental Varieties"; Uniformity requirements for variegated varieties; Discussions on draft Test Guidelines (Subgroups); Recommendations on draft Test Guidelines (ple nary); Date and place of the next session; Future program; Report on the Conclusions of the Session.

<u>TechnicalWorkingPartyforVegetables(TWV)</u>

77. The TWV held its thirty -sixthsession in Tsukuba, Japan, from September 9 to 13,2002, under the chairmanship of Ms. Julia Borys (Poland). The Report on the Conclusions is contained in document TWV/36/13, and the detailed Report appears as document TWV/36/14.

Participation

78. The session was attended by 63 participants from 14 memb ers of the Union, seven observerStates and two observer organizations. The participants included the trainees from a three -month PVP training course organized by the Japan International Cooperation Agency(JICA) from Septemberto October 2002.

MolecularTechniques

79. Withregard to the report on developments in UPOV concerning molecular techniques, the TWV agreed that Option 1 (a) (Use of molecular characteristics which are directly linked to traditional characteristics) as explained indocume nt TC/38/14 – CAJ/45/5, would be useful for the detection of certain vegetable characteristics , such as disease resistance and mail sterility, and could be considered in conjunction with the discussion of individual Test Guidelines documents. The TWV observed that the usefulness of Option 2 (Calibration of threshold levels for molecular characteristics against the minimum distance in traditional characteristics) for the management of reference varieties in DUS testing for vegetable varieties was worthy of examination. However, the examination by the TWV in this area would depend on the availability of data on both molecular and conventional distances.

80. The TWV noted that the first meeting of the Ad hoc Crop Subgroup on Molecular Techniques for Mushroom would be held on the afternoon of Friday, September 13, 2002, and supported the nomination of Mr. van Marrewijkas Chairman of the Subgroup.

Development of TGPD ocuments

81. The TWV discussed a number of draft TGP documents with priori ty being given to TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines," TGP/4 "Management of Variety Collections," TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" and TGP10 "Examining Uniformity." With regard to other TGP documents, the TWV discussed TGP/3.2 "Developments and Explanations Regarding Varieties of Common Knowledge "and TGP/12.1" Characteristics Expressed in Response to External Factors: Disease Resistance. "The TWV recalled that it had proposed, at its thirty-fifth session, that a separate TGP document be prepared on see Int and flavor (TGP/12.4). However, the TWV considered that it did not have sufficient experience and knowledge, for the time being, to use scent or flavor characteristics for the conduct of DUS testing for vegetable varieties.

ProjecttoConsiderthePub licationofVarietyDescriptions

82. The TWV received an oral report from the Office on the la test developments in the Project to Consider the Publication of Variety Descriptions. The TWV agreed that Chinese Cabbage and L ettuce should be placed on the short list of species for which a model study could be conducted and noted that Mr. Kees van Ettekoven (Netherlands) and Mr. Keiji Tanaka (Japan) would actas coordinators of the respective cropspecies.

 $Draft Test Guide lines to be Submitted to th \\ e Technical Committee$

83. The TWV agreed that draft Test Guidelines for Basil, Broad Bean (Revision), Chinese Cabbage (Revision), Chinese Chive, Chives, Endive (Revision), Lentil , Lettuce (Revision) and Runner Bean (Revision) should be submitted to the TC for adoption.

Draft Test Guide lines to be Discussed at the TWV session in 2003

- 84. The TWV agreed to discuss or re -discuss draft Test Guidelines for Husk Tomato, Melon, Mushroom, Perilla and Rosemaryduring its next session.
- 85. The TWV agreed to seek the advice of the Chairman of the TWO on whether the draft Test Guidelines for Perilla, as a mended, should be considered by the TWO.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

86. At the invitation of the expert from the Netherlands, the TWV proposed to hold its thirty-seventh sessionin Roelofarendsveen, Netherlands, from June 23 to 27,2003.

ProgramfortheNextSession

87. Atits thirty-seventh session, the TW V planned to discuss or re -discuss: Short reports on deve lopments in plant variety protection; Report on the last session of the TC and recommendations resulting from that session; Molecular techniques; TGP documents; Discussion on draft Test Guidelines for: Brussels Sprout (Revision); Cabbage (Revision);

Carrot(Revision); Chard/LeafBeet(Revision); Ginseng; HuskTomato; Melon(Revision); Mushroom; Parsnip; Perilla; Rosemary; Watermelon (Revision); Date and place of the nextsession; Future program; Report on the Conclusions of the Session.

Working Group on Biochemical and Molecular Techniques, and DNA - Profiling in Particular (BMT)

88. TheBMThasnotmetsincethethirty -eighthsessionoftheTC.

DateandPlaceofNextSession

89. AttheinvitationofJapan,theBMTwil lholditseighthsessioninTsukuba,Japan,from September3to5,2003.

ProgramfortheNextSession

90. Atitseighthsession,theBMTplannedtodiscuss:ShortpresentationsbyDUSexperts, biochemical and molecular specialists and plant breeders on new developments in biochemical and molecular techniques; Reports from the Review Group, TC and Crop Subgroups; Report of work on molecular techniques on a crop -by crop basis, including methodstoassessthepotentialimpactonthestrengtho fvarietyprotection; Development of guidelines on the suitability and application of different biochemical and molecular methods for variety characterization; Review of the costs of molecular techniques; Construction and standardization of databases of molecular characteristics of plant varieties; Statistical methods for data produced by biochemical and molecular techniques; The use of molecular techniques in examining essential derivation; Future program, date and place of the next session; Report on the Conclusions of the Session.

91. TheCouncilisinvited

- (a) to note the work of the TC and that of the TWPs and BMT reported to the TC, as provided in this document,
- (b) to approve the work program of the TC and the work programs of the T WPs and BMT reported to the TC, as provided in this document.

[Endofdocument]